UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/771,829	02/04/2004	David P. Bingaman	2462 US 3961		
7590 08/01/2007 Teresa J. Schultz Alcon Research, Ltd. 6201 South Freeway, Q-148 Fort Worth, TX 76124-2099			EXAMINER		
			ISSAC, ROY P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1011 1101111, 121			1623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/771,829	BINGAMAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy P. Issac	1623	
TL. MAN INO DATE of this		L	<u></u>
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		-	iress
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			, adoption of
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	·-	in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	·	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 7/16/2007. A brief in condate of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements.	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismis	sal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Attachment. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-18</u> .	•		
Claim(s) rejected. <u>I and 3-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Attachment. 		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	_	
13. Other:		$\sim \sim \sim$	1 - 1 :-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) S. Anna Jiang, Ph.D. Supervisory Patent Examiner Application/Control Number: 10/771,829

Art Unit: 1623

Advisory Action

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's proposed amendment and response <u>after Final</u> filed on 12 July, 2007. The amendements have been considered and <u>will not be entered</u> as discussed below.

3. Applicants' amendment to claim 1 raises new issues with respect to rejections under 35 U.S.C 102, 103(a), and Section 112, first paragraph, requiring further consideration and search. Applicants' amendment to claim 1, adding the recitation "preservative-free" needs to considered for new matter, as well as on obviousness and anticipation grounds.

Applicants' proposed amendment to claim 13 changing the range claimed to 3% of anecortave acetate and 0.5% of triamcinolone acetonide will overcome the new matter rejection of claim 13 under section 112, first paragraph.

The proposed cancellation of claims 14 and 15 will remove all rejections of claims 14 and 15.

The terminal disclaimer filed for application No. 10/772,963 will overcome double patenting rejection over 10/772,963.

11. Applicants arguments were fully considered but found unpersuasive. The rejections are maintained for reasons of record.

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy P. Issac whose telephone number is 571-272-2674. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Anna Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Roy P. Issac Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623 8. Anna Jiang, Ph.D. Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1623